



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,757	10/01/2001	John S. Hendricks	3698.00D8	7416

56015 7590 07/05/2006

PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/
SEDNA PATENT SERVICES, LLC
595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE
SUITE 100
SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702

EXAMINER

SHELEHEDA, JAMES R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2623

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/966,757	Applicant(s) HENDRICKS, JOHN S.	
	Examiner James Sheleheda	Art Unit 2623	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 April 2006.
 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Banker et al. (Banker) (5,477,262) (of record).

As to claim 22, Banker discloses a television system delivery system for generating an interactive electronic program guide for display on a television connected to the set top terminal (Fig. 1), the system comprising:

an operations center (headend; Fig. 1; column 7, lines 58-63) comprising:

a means for packaging a plurality of television programs (plural scheduled programs to be broadcast to viewers; Figs. 2 and 13A; column 5, lines 49-53, column 18, lines 3-19 and column 21, lines 62-64); and

a means for generating program control information including data associated with the packaging of the television programs (column 18, lines 3-19 and column 21, lines 62-64);

Art Unit: 2623

a means for delivering the packaged television programs and the program control information from the operations center to a subscriber (Fig. 2; column 9, lines 26-51, column 18, lines 3-19 and column 21, lines 62-64);

a set top terminal (Fig. 3, 300; column 10, lines 61-63), located at the subscriber's location, that receives the television programs from the operations center (column 11, lines 37-52), the terminal comprising:

a microprocessor (310) for executing program instructions (column 11, lines 31-36);

a graphic memory (NVM, 314; column 12, lines 1-5);

a graphic generator (on screen control circuit, 306) to generate graphics from the graphic memory (column 12, lines 1-5 and lines 27-61); and

a subscriber interface for choosing an option from displayed graphics (column 21, lines 34-43) and for effecting the memory location from which graphical information is generated by the graphics generator (column 21, lines 34-43 and column 12, lines 1-5 and lines 27-61),

wherein the terminal generates an interactive electronic program guide (column 11, lines 21-31) comprising:

a plurality of interactive menus (interactive menus for such features as sleep mode, messages, pay-per-view, VCR timing and STB control; Figs. 8, 10, 12, 16A, 18 and 20; column 21, line 44-column 25, line 27), each corresponding to a level of interactivity and having one or more interactive menu items for selection (Figs. 8, 10, 12, 16A, 18 and 20; column 21, line 44-column 25, line 27);

Art Unit: 2623

a main menu having one or more main menu items for selection (top menu; Fig. 7A), which main menu items correspond to the interactive menus (corresponding to the submenus; Fig. 7 and 7A; column 21, lines 34-45), wherein the menus are navigated using a user input (column 21, lines 34-43), and wherein the main menu items and the interactive menu items are responsive to selection signals received from the user input (column 21, lines 34-43); and

a cursor for navigation of the menus (column 19, line 59-column 20, line 34), wherein the cursor movement corresponds to the user input and assists in the selection of one or more main menu items (see Fig. 7A and column 20, lines 6-34), wherein the menus are linked in a tree structure (see Figs. 6, 7A, 13A and 15A; column 21, lines 15-43, column 22, lines 27-45 and column 22, line 63-column 23, line 33), and the sequence of menus and each menu structure are adapted for an "eye off the remote" construction (column 20, lines 6-42).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 8-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Banker in view of Gibson (5,539,871) (of record).

As to claim 8, Banker discloses a television system delivery system for generating an interactive electronic program guide for display on a television connected to the set top terminal (Fig. 1), the system comprising:

- an operations center (headend; Fig. 1; column 7, lines 58-63) comprising:

- a means for packaging a plurality of television programs (plural scheduled programs to be broadcast to viewers; Figs. 2 and 13A; column 5, lines 49-53, column 18, lines 3-19 and column 21, lines 62-64); and

- a means for generating program control information including data associated with the packaging of the television programs (column 18, lines 3-19 and column 21, lines 62-64);

- a means for delivering the packaged television programs and the program control information from the operations center to a subscriber (Fig. 2; column 9, lines 26-51, column 18, lines 3-19 and column 21, lines 62-64);

- a set top terminal (Fig. 3, 300; column 10, lines 61-63), located at the subscriber's location, that receives the television programs from the operations center (column 11, lines 37-52), the terminal comprising:

- a microprocessor (310) for executing program instructions (column 11, lines 31-36);

- a graphic memory (NVM, 314; column 12, lines 1-5);

- a graphic generator (on screen control circuit, 306) to generate graphics from the graphic memory (column 12, lines 1-5 and lines 27-61); and

Art Unit: 2623

a subscriber interface for choosing an option from displayed graphics (column 21, lines 34-43) and for effecting the memory location from which graphical information is generated by the graphics generator (column 21, lines 34-43 and column 12, lines 1-5 and lines 27-61),

wherein the terminal generates an interactive electronic program guide (column 11, lines 21-31) having

an overlay menu that is displayed during the one of the programs (Figs. 7 and 7A; column 12, line 62-column 13, line 13 and column 21, lines 34-43), the overlay menu including interactive features (Fig. 7A), wherein the overlay menu is displayed in response to a signal received from a user input (Figs. 3 and 4; column 16, lines 19-42 and column 19, lines 59-65).

While Banker discloses an overlay menu that is displayed in response to a signal received from the user input (column 19, line 59-column 20, line 5), and wherein the overlay menu is in a series of menus that are linked in a tree sequence (see Figs. 6, 7A, 13A and 15A; column 21, lines 15-43, column 22, lines 27-45 and column 22, line 63-column 23, line 33), he fails to specifically disclose wherein the terminal senses one or more interactive features during a selected program and generating a logo that is displayed on the television screen, which program has one or more interactive features, wherein the logo indicates to a user that the interactive features are available for the program.

In an analogous art, Gibson discloses a system wherein an interactive menu system for display on a television in conjunction with television programming (column 2, lines 10-27), wherein

a logo that is displayed on a display during a program having one or more interactive features (column 3, line 65-column 4, line 35 and column 6, lines 1-24), when interactive content is detected within the program (see Fig. 3; column 5, lines 43-67);

a overlay menu that is displayed during the program (displayed list of choices; column 6, lines 51-56), the overlay menu including the interactive features (column 6, lines 53-62),

wherein the logo indicates to a user that the interactive features are available for the program (column 4, lines 7-35 and column 6, lines 1-24), and wherein the overlay menu is displayed in response to a signal received from a user input (column 6, line 38-56) for the typical benefit of allowing a user to elect to access additional information associated with a multimedia presentation (column 1, lines 39-63).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Banker's system to include wherein the terminal senses one or more interactive features during a selected program and generating a logo that is displayed on the television screen, which program has one or more interactive features, wherein the logo indicates to a user that the interactive features are available for the program, as taught by Gibson, for the typical benefit of providing a user with a means to easily identify and access additional information related to a displayed video presentation.

As to claim 9, Banker and Gibson disclose wherein the overlay menu includes menu options for a plurality of interactive features (see Banker at Figs. 7 and 7A and Gibson at column 5, lines 38-54 and column 6, lines 52-56).

As to claim 10, Banker and Gibson disclose wherein the overlay menu further includes a menu option to return to the program without the interactive features (see Banker at Fig. 7A and Gibson at column 6, lines 57-60 and Fig. 6, steps 610, 612 and 616).

As to claim 11, Banker and Gibson disclose a cursor that indicates one of the menu options (see Banker at column 21, lines 34-43 and Gibson at column 6, lines 51-56, column 4, lines 27-35 and column 3, lines 36-39), wherein the cursor is controlled by the user input (see Banker at column 21, lines 34-43 and Gibson at column 4, lines 27-35 and column 3, lines 36-39).

As to claim 12, Banker and Gibson disclose wherein the interactive features include facts related to the program (see Gibson at column 4, line 65-column 5, line 5).

As to claim 13, Banker and Gibson disclose wherein the guide further comprises a plurality of interactive submenus for use with the interactive features (see Banker at Figs. 7 and 7A and column 21, lines 34-43), which submenus are displayed in response

Art Unit: 2623

to a selection of the menu items (see Banker at column 21, lines 34-43), the selection being received as at least one of the selection signals from the user input (see Banker at column 21, lines 34-43).

As to claim 14, while Banker and Gibson discloses displaying a plurality of submenus (see Banker at Fig. 7A), they fail to specifically disclose wherein the submenus are displayed in a video window in a scaled down program video format.

The examiner takes Official Notice that it was notoriously well known in the art at the time of invention by applicant to simultaneously display a reduced version of a menu with a plurality of selections on the same display as video programming, wherein the menu and video programming are each scaled to cover a smaller portion of the overall display to allow both to be fully displayed to the user at the same time, for the typical benefit of allowing a viewer to continue fully viewing a television program while navigating a menu and not miss any of the displayed video program.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Banker and Gibson's system to include wherein the submenus are displayed in a video window in a scaled down program video format for the typical benefit of allowing a viewer to continue viewing a television program while navigating a menu and not miss any of the displayed video program.

Art Unit: 2623

As to claim 15, Banker and Gibson disclose wherein the program and one or more of the submenus are displayed on the television at the same time (see Banker at column 12, line 63-column 13, line 13).

As to claim 16, Banker and Gibson disclose wherein the logo is displayed as an overlay menu (overlaid button to select; see Gibson at column 4, lines 7-36).

As to claim 17, Banker and Gibson disclose wherein the logo is displayed by the set top terminal (see Banker at Fig. 3; column 12, lines 42-61), and wherein the set top terminal determines whether there is data or information about the program to be displayed as the one or more interactive features (see Gibson at column 5, lines 38-54) and displays the logo if there is data or information (see Gibson at column 6, lines 1-10).

As to claim 18, Gibson discloses wherein the set top terminal (see claim 17) generates an overlay menu including the logo (column 3, line 65-column 4, line 35 and column 6, lines 1-24).

As to claim 19, while Banker and Gibson disclose generating the overlay menu utilizing a set top converter (see Banker at column 12, lines 42-61), they fail to specifically disclose using data received during a vertical blanking interval.

The examiner takes Official Notice that it was notoriously well known in the art at the time of invention by applicant to utilize data from a vertical blanking interval, as

Art Unit: 2623

receiving data during a vertical blanking interval at a set top terminal allows a cable headend or other programming provider to download additional data and information to a user's system, such as interactive information or data updates, for the typical benefit allowing additional and updated information to be received at a user's terminal from a broadcast provider utilizing a television signal.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Banker and Gibson's system to include using data received during a vertical blanking interval for the typical benefit allowing additional and updated information to be received at a user's terminal from a broadcast provider utilizing a television signal.

As to claim 20, Banker and Gibson disclose wherein the logo is displayed in a corner of the screen of the television periodically for a specified duration (Fig. 3B, Fig. 4, step 408; column 5, lines 6-20).

As to claim 21, while Banker and Gibson disclose wherein the logo is displayed for a particular period of time (pertaining to periods of time an object is on the display; see Gibson at column 6, lines 10-18 and column 4, lines 7-26 and lines 45-54), they fail to specifically disclose displaying the logo for 15 seconds during a plurality of ten-minute segments of the program.

The examiner takes Official Notice that it was notoriously well known in the art at the time of invention by applicant to display specific objects in a media presentation for

Art Unit: 2623

at least 15 seconds during a plurality of ten-minutes segments of the program, such as the main character or object in a television program or movie, for the typical benefit of displaying important information to viewer's during extended periods of time during a program.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Banker and Gibson's system to include displaying the logo for 15 seconds during a plurality of ten-minute segments of the program for the typical benefit of displaying important information to viewer's during extended periods of time during a program.

5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldstein (5,410,326) (of record) in view of Banker.

As to claim 1, while Goldstein discloses a television delivery system for generating an interactive electronic program guide for display on a television connected to a set top terminal (column 33, lines 3-34), the system comprising:

an operations center (cable facility; column 16, lines 38-41) comprising:

a means for packaging a plurality of television programs (plural programs to be broadcast to viewers; column 9, line 3-34 and column 34, line 67-column 35, line 22); and

a means for generating program control information including data associated with the packaging of the television programs (column 33, lines 58-68 and column 34, line 67-column 35, line 22);

a means for delivering the packaged television programs and the program control information from the operations center to a subscriber (column 16, lines 38-61, column 21, lines 3-10 and column 33, lines 58-68);

a set top terminal, located at the subscriber's location, that receives the television programs from the operations center (column 16, lines 38-45), the terminal comprising:

a microprocessor for executing program instructions (Fig. 14; microprocessor unit, 137; column 16, lines 38-45);

a graphic memory (column 33, lines 18-23 and lines 58-62);

a graphic generator to generate graphics from the graphic memory (column 17, lines 16-19 and column 34, lines 20-28); and

a subscriber interface for choosing an option from displayed graphics (column 34, lines 20-28) and for effecting the memory location from which graphical information is generated by the graphics generator (column 34, lines 20-37);

wherein the terminal generates an electronic program guide (column 17, lines 16-19) having a series of menus comprising:

a home menu (master menu; column 34, lines 1-9);

a plurality of major menus displayed as menu options on the home menu (column 34, lines 6-19);

a plurality of sub-menus displayed as menu options on the plurality of major menus (column 34, line 67-column 35, line 59); and

a plurality of during programming menus enacted after selection of a program (additional information icons displayed during a program; column 14, lines 3-20), he fails

to specifically disclose wherein the series of menus are linked in a tree sequence and the subscriber interface is capable of choosing the option for bypassing at least one menu of the series of menus.

In an analogous art, Banker discloses a television system delivery system (Fig. 1) which generates an electronic program guide menu for display to a user (column 11, lines 21-31), wherein the menu is in a series of menus that are linked in a tree sequence (see Figs. 6, 7A, 13A and 15A; column 21, lines 15-43, column 22, lines 27-45 and column 22, line 63-column 23, line 33) and wherein the subscriber interface is capable of choosing the option for bypassing the menus (see Fig. 7A; column 19, line 63-column 20, line 2) for the typical benefits of providing a simple, user friendly menu providing the user with easy navigation (column 19, line 63-column 20, line 2 and column 20, lines 6-42).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Goldstein's system to include wherein the series of menus are linked in a tree sequence and the subscriber interface is capable of choosing the option for bypassing at least one menu of the series of menus, as taught by Banker, for the typical benefits of providing the user with a simple means to navigate and exit the menu as desired.

As to claim 2, Goldstein and Banker disclose an introductory menu that is displayed upon beginning use of the guide (local menu to perform initialization; see Goldstein at column 33, lines 11-34).

As to claim 3, Goldstein and Banker disclose wherein the guide is controlled by a set top terminal (television receiver; see Goldstein at column 33, lines 11-33), and wherein the introductory menu automatically appears on the television screen when the set top terminal is turned on (see Goldstein at column 3, lines 11-16).

As to claim 4, Goldstein and Banker disclose wherein the introductory menu displays information or messages from a television delivery system operations center that provides programming (see Goldstein at column 33, lines 11-68).

As to claim 5, Goldstein and Banker disclose wherein the information or messages are directed to a particular subscriber (see Goldstein at column 20, lines 54-63).

As to claim 6, Goldstein and Banker disclose wherein the information or messages are directed to a group of subscribers (see Goldstein at column 20, lines 54-63).

As to claim 7, Goldstein and Banker disclose wherein the during program menus comprise hidden menus and program overlay menus (comprising overlaid icons and hidden embedded information; see Goldstein at column 14, lines 3-20).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8-22 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

a. In response to applicant's arguments on page 10, that Banker fails to teach "a cursor for navigation of the menus, wherein the cursor movement corresponds to the user input and assists in the selection of one or more main menu items", applicant is directed to Fig. 7A and column 20, lines 6-34, wherein Banker specifically discloses a cursor being used to allow the user to navigate and select items from the *main menu* based upon user key press input.

b. In response to applicant's arguments on page 10, that Banker fails to teach "wherein the menus are linked in a tree sequence", applicant is directed to Figs. 6, 7A, 13A and 15A; column 21, lines 15-43, column 22, lines 27-45 and column 22, line 63-column 23, line 33, wherein it is clearly shown that the menu arrangement of Banker encompasses a "tree sequence". A "main menu" with a plurality of items to select, and wherein the selectable items each open new menu screens with plural choices, thus branching out in a tree structure.

c. In response to applicant's arguments on page 10, that Banker fails to teach "the sequence of menus and each menu structure are adapted for an "eye

Art Unit: 2623

off the remote construction” applicant is directed to column 20, lines 6-42, wherein Banker clearly discloses the ease of navigation within the menu system, and more specifically wherein the menus are navigated with a *single button* (column 20, lines 6-22). Requiring only one button to navigate the menu clearly reads upon adapting the menus for an “eye off the remote construction.

d. In response to applicant's arguments, on page 13, against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

e. In response to applicant's arguments on page 13, that Banker fails to teach generating an electronic program guide having menus in a tree structure, applicant is directed to Figs. 6, 7A, 13A and 15A; column 21, lines 15-43, column 22, lines 27-45 and column 22, line 63-column 23, line 33, wherein it is clearly shown that the menu arrangement of Banker encompasses a “tree sequence”. A “main menu” with a plurality of items to select, and wherein the selectable items each open new menu screens with plural choices, thus branching out in a tree structure.

f. In response to applicant's arguments, on page 13, that Banker fails to disclose wherein the terminal senses whether a program includes interactive features for placing an icon for the subscriber to access the interactive features, it is noted that Banker was not relied upon to disclose this feature, as the specific interactive limitations are taught by Gibson and provide the benefit of allowing a user to elect to access additional information associated with a multimedia presentation. Thus, it is the *combination* of Banker with Gibson which discloses a terminal which will generate an electronic program guide having menus in a tree structure and wherein the terminal senses whether a program includes interactive features for placing an icon for the subscriber to access the interactive features, as recited in the claim.

g. The Official Notice presented in the prior action stating that it was notoriously well known in the art to simultaneously display a reduced version of a menu with a plurality of selections on the same display as video programming was not traversed and is accordingly taken as an admission of the fact noted.

h. The Official Notice presented in the prior action stating that it was notoriously well known in the art to utilize data from a vertical blanking interval was not traversed and is accordingly taken as an admission of the fact noted.

Art Unit: 2623

- i. The Official Notice presented in the prior action stating that it was notoriously well known in the art to display specific objects in a media presentation for at least 15 seconds during a plurality of ten-minutes segments of the program was not traversed and is accordingly taken as an admission of the fact noted.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

9. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Art Unit: 2623

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on _____.
(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Signature: _____

Registration Number: _____

Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. () _____ - _____ on _____.
(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Signature: _____

Registration Number: _____

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Sheleheda whose telephone number is (571) 272-7357. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.


Art Unit: 2623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

James Sheleheda
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2623

JS


CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600